

### Day 3 Session 2 Breakout 1 Notes by Dan (co-lead Yuanfu)

1. What additional capability or improvement is needed or LAPS in your future applications?
  - a. Domain Wizard
  - b. User friendly way to interface data sources
    - i. Nest7grid.parm
    - ii. Topograbber > “data grabber”
    - iii. Localization
    - iv. WRF inter”face”
    - v. QC between the two
  - c. Cloud Hydrometeor phase
  - d. More use of Clear-Air Doppler
2. Would you consider testing STMAS for your future applications?
  - a. YES (overwhelming)
  - b. Then “how to do this better?”
    - i. Run LAPS and STMAS together first before getting info back to FAB (make sure it is “better”)
    - ii. If not a parallel LAPS run it could be:
      1. GSI
      2. whatever (HIRLAM)
    - iii. FAB could help run parallel systems for developers who do not have the resources to run a parallel system.
  - c. Documentation
3. What applications in the near future would require improving hotstart scheme?
  - a. Sigma coordinate system possibly LAPS-WRF commonality, perhaps sigma low-level, eta higher-level
  - b. Radar data influence hotstart
  - c. Lidar (nrel)
  - d. Topo issues:
    - i. High Resolution topo orographic forcing
    - ii. Current topo testing
    - iii. Spline topo testing
  - e. Downscaling
4. What are the requirements for your applications using the analysis system?  
Efficiency, portability and better analysis comparing to other DA techniques?
  - a. Economical (cheap or free)
  - b. Investigate a free source
  - c. Popular compiler focus (gfortran) might enhance the likelihood of a low-budget user to implement our system (more proliferation of LAPS/STMAS)
  - d. Latency
  - e. Format (probably will be evolving, but should be standardized)

- f. Radar “error” confidence QC
- g. Dual Pol data
- h. Precip gauge data influence radar normalization (precip rate)
- i. Consider acquiring Ken Howard’s radar QC in our system.